

Energy and resources | October 2025

2025 CLIMATE REVIEW

How much progress have we made?

WRITTEN BY

Andrew Keen

Harry Kilby



This year marks a quarter into the 21st century and halfway to 2050, the widely accepted target for net-zero emissions to limit global warming. How are we doing? What's going right and what's going wrong? It is probably no surprise that we are collectively falling short. According to BloombergNEF, global energy transition investment reached a record \$2.1tn in 2024, but more than \$5tn annually is needed through 2030. This means that current flows are covering less than half of what is required. Emissions are also not falling fast enough: the required 43% cut by 2030 compares with annual reductions of less than 1% (source: International Energy Agency, IEA). Capital is not only insufficient, but also increasingly concentrated: infrastructure and late-stage assets continue to attract inflows, while early-stage climate technology remains funding constrained, at least according to official data. Proven renewables and infrastructure are now mature investment classes and can provide stability, but earlier-stage technology and industrial transport require risk capital. Broader climate investment levels are actually well ahead of pre-2020 baselines, and the sector has proved more resilient than broader venture markets, but more is needed to meet climate goals. This funding gap therefore signals not only the scale of the challenge but also the opportunity for investors.

PARTNERSHIP WITH EARTH CAPITAL

Edison Group and Earth Capital to drive greater visibility and engagement for sustainability-focused private market businesses. This collaboration underscores Edison's commitment to supporting companies at the forefront of sustainability, technology and innovation. Through this enhanced partnership, Edison Group is playing a crucial role in amplifying Earth Capital and its clients within the investment community.

CONTACT

Andrew Keen

akeen@edisongroup.com
+44 (020) 3077 5700

Harry Kilby

hkilby@edisongroup.com
+44 (020) 3077 5700

Alan Matthews

amatthewss@earthcapital.net
+44(0)7770 471682



Earth Capital is a specialist corporate adviser, supporting early-stage and scale-up ventures with fundraising and M&A advisory services. The firm focuses on working with innovative, sustainability-driven businesses that are addressing the challenges of the Sustainable Development Goals. It offers relationship-driven services centered on providing venture builders with a clear path for capital, growth and eventual exit.



BRILLIANT KNOWLEDGE

As one of the largest issuer-sponsored research firms, we are known for our bottom-up work on individual stocks. However, our thinking does not stop at the company level. Through our regular dialogue with management teams and investors, we consider the broad themes related to the companies we follow. Edison themes aims to identify the big issues likely to shape company strategy and portfolios in the years ahead.

Climate goals progress assessment

The 1.5°C target is slipping away

To limit warming to 1.5°C,¹ global greenhouse gas emissions must peak before end-2025 and fall 43% by 2030 (United Nations Environment Programme, UNEP). Instead, they are broadly stabilising. Industrial emissions were about 9 Gt CO₂ in 2022 and need to decline to 7 Gt by 2030, a 3% annual reduction. In 2023, progress was only 0.9% (IEA).

We often think of power generation and cars when considering emissions, but major industrial and agricultural sectors are equally material, and solutions are not yet clear. Steel produced roughly 3.5 Gt CO₂ in 2022 (World Steel Association), requiring intensity cuts of a quarter by 2030. Cement contributed more than 2.5 Gt CO₂ in 2020 (Global Cement and Concrete Association, GCCA), split between limestone decomposition (60%) and fuel combustion (40%). Primary chemicals generated about 935 Mt CO₂ in 2022, with ammonia accounting for nearly half of this (IEA).

Hard-to-abate sectors remain the challenge. Steel needs electric arc furnaces, scrap utilisation and hydrogen-based direct reduction to move the needle this decade. Cement requires clinker substitution, alternative fuels and carbon capture utilisation and storage (CCUS). Chemicals needs low-carbon hydrogen and electrified heat. These interventions are only likely to succeed with predictable carbon pricing and stable policy.

Aviation and shipping are also challenging. Aviation emitted almost 950 Mt CO₂ in 2023, rebounding from pandemic lows (International Air Transport Association). International shipping contributed 0.86 Gt CO₂ (International Maritime Organization). Both sectors rely on efficiency and alternative fuels, but commercial supply chains are immature and capital-intensive.

Technology solutions are still at the demonstration stage. Hydrogen direct-reduced iron in steelmaking, CCUS in cement and chemicals, and sustainable aviation fuels have not yet reached commercial readiness. The IEA estimates that US\$90bn of public support is needed by 2030 to bridge this gap, but only around US\$25bn has been committed.

The funding gap is real, not theoretical. Steel alone requires about 30 additional hydrogen-based direct-reduction plants this decade, drawing around 250TWh of low-carbon electricity (IEA). The GCCA calls for industrial-scale carbon capture at 10 plants by 2030, tripling thereafter. Both require offtake certainty and carbon price floors.

Politics plays a part and is often slow and unpredictable. The EU is advancing the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism and expanding the Emissions Trading System (ETS). The US Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) provides industrial decarbonisation support and generous tax credits for clean hydrogen and aviation fuels, although climate change has become part of partisan politics. China is widening ETS coverage to more industrial subsectors. The UK's decision to delay the petrol and diesel vehicle ban to 2035 is another example of policy adjustment. The Zero-Emission Vehicle (ZEV) Mandate still requires 80% ZEV sales by 2030.

International coordination remains weak. The UNEP's Emissions Gap Report 2024 suggests current pledges imply 2.6–3.1°C warming above pre-industrial levels. Finance commitments agreed at COP, while directionally positive, are below the required scale and lack clarity on deployment. For investors, execution risk is critical. Projects backed by clear policy frameworks move forward; those that rely on ambition alone do not.

¹ 1.5 °C target refers to a global goal set under the Paris Agreement (2015) to limit human-caused global warming to no more than 1.5 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels (1850–1900).

How are capital markets stepping up?

Climate finance is at record levels, but headline numbers mask slowing momentum. BloombergNEF recorded \$2.1tn in clean energy investment in 2024, up 11% year-on-year, despite slowing from 24–29% in 2021–23. The IEA projects \$2.2tn in 2025, more than double fossil fuel spending for the first time. This 2:1 ratio is a milestone.

Headwinds explain much of the deceleration. Higher financing costs have lifted hurdle rates, grid bottlenecks have constrained growth in several markets and policy delays have slowed offshore wind and industrial-scale projects. Interest rates are central. At 5–6% risk-free yields, far fewer marginal projects clear hurdle rates than in a 1–2% world. Developers have responded with higher Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) requirements, deferred final investment decisions and tighter capital discipline.

Private markets show a clear divide. Infrastructure funds continue to raise at scale, with EQT Infrastructure VI securing \$23.2bn in 2025, Blackstone's Energy Transition Partners IV raising \$5.6bn and Brookfield's BGTF II securing \$10bn at first close. By contrast, venture capital (VC) has fallen sharply, with AI being an exception. AI's dominance of recent VC flows, nearly \$100bn in 2024, risks obscuring the overlap between the two sectors. An estimated 40% of AI funding already supports climate-related applications, from grid optimisation to emissions monitoring. This suggests convergence rather than competition, with climate solutions embedded in broader technology waves.

Global climate tech VC dropped to \$12.9bn in 2024 from \$19.9bn in 2021 (PitchBook). Clean energy VC was \$3.9bn in Q225, down 6% quarter-on-quarter, while carbon and emissions tech VC fell 44% to \$2.1bn, the lowest since 2020. In context, this contraction is less severe than the broader VC market, which has fallen to near-2020 levels after an unsustainable 2021 peak. PitchBook data show clean energy VC declined just 3.1% in 2024, compared with a 14% drop across climate tech as a whole, and a steeper fall in wider VC activity. Current levels remain around 50% above 2019 baselines, pointing to sector resilience rather than collapse.

Debt markets are more resilient. Sustainable debt issuance reached around \$1.5tn in 2024, taking cumulative aligned issuance above \$6tn by mid-2025 (Climate Bonds Initiative). Green and transition bonds dominate, with sustainability-linked loans particularly strong in Europe and Asia. Structured finance is deepening, with growth in electric vehicle (EV) asset-backed securities and green mortgages. In the US, IRA-enabled tax-credit transfers are widening access to corporate and insurance investors.

Public equity has been weak. Sustainable equity funds saw record outflows in Q125 before stabilising with modest inflows in Q2. UK renewables trusts raised only £845m of secondary issuance in 2024 and saw no IPOs (London Stock Exchange (LSE) data). Discounts widened sharply, although buybacks have restored some stability, a pattern which is also evident in European listed vehicles and US Yieldcos.

The investment in different sectors also reflects the maturity of technology. More than 90% of renewable and transport flows in 2023 were based on purely commercial terms. By contrast, industry decarbonisation remains at near \$25bn annually against needs of almost \$600bn (IEA). High capital intensity, technology risk and carbon pricing uncertainty remain barriers. Buildings and efficiency drew \$288bn in 2023, but growth slowed in 2024 as rates rose and incentives weakened. Adaptation is even further behind, with the UNEP estimating an annual financing gap of \$200–350bn. Headline statistics understate the role of climate-adjacent sectors. Alternative materials, water technology and industrial efficiency platforms are critical to net zero but are often classified outside 'climate tech'. For example, water technology VC funding was just \$1.2bn in 2023, yet industrial water treatment and agricultural efficiency investments are multiples of this when embedded in larger platforms. Similarly, efficiency software that reduces industrial energy use by up

to 75% is typically logged as 'industrial IT' rather than climate, although it delivers immediate cost savings and rapid adoption.

The outlook hinges on three levers:

- Cost of capital: lower interest rates would reopen investment windows and allow more marginal projects to move ahead, but a return to the ultra-low-rate era looks unlikely.
- Policy credibility: stable frameworks such as the IRA in the US or Contracts for Differences (CfDs) in Europe anchor confidence. Policy drift or reversals quickly erode valuations and delay commitments.
- Financing innovation: expanding tools like tax-credit transfers, blended finance and support from public development banks could bring private capital into projects that are close to commercial but not yet fully viable.

UK investment issues and opportunities

UK industrial decarbonisation represents a £100bn investment requirement by 2030 (UK Climate Change Committee). To cut emissions by 25%, large capital is needed across steel, cement, chemicals and manufacturing. The broader transition economy is also significant. The UK's net-zero economy already supports nearly one million jobs and £83bn of gross value added (GVA), growing 10% in 2024 (CBI Economics). Water sector modernisation alone represents a £60bn investment opportunity by 2030, with technologies such as smart metering and leakage detection scaling rapidly. These adjacent markets are less visible in VC statistics, but are highly investible.

Steel is the clearest case. Availability of scrap and access to offshore wind make the UK competitive in green steel. Abatement costs, meaning the price of cutting a tonne of CO₂, are estimated at \$25–60/t, among the lowest globally (Material Economics). With such economics, as much as 30% of domestic production could shift to electric arc furnaces by 2030. To make projects bankable, policy support such as CfDs for industrial power, green procurement and carbon border adjustments is essential.

Hydrogen-based direct reduction will follow where power is abundant and carbon pricing is credible. Early pilots in Humber and Teesside industrial clusters show potential, especially once CCUS infrastructure is operational. Stable power prices and long-term offtakes are prerequisites.

Cement is tougher. Abatement costs are estimated at \$110–130/t (GCCA), reflecting the difficulty of tackling process emissions. Still, demand for low-carbon cement is growing, and alternative fuels, clinker substitution and early CCUS could deliver meaningful reductions this decade.

Chemicals and refining also face transitions. Electrified heat and low-carbon hydrogen can cut emissions, but abatement costs remain high and depend on further falls in renewable power prices and tighter carbon markets. Offtake agreements and policy guarantees are crucial.

The UK has been an innovator in green finance. London remains a hub for green bonds, sustainability-linked loans and risk analytics (LSE, Climate Bonds Initiative). Fintech platforms are expanding in carbon accounting and disclosure, Lloyd's is broadening parametric insurance and the Bank of England's stress testing is sharpening demand for reliable climate risk management.

Where the UK lags is in growth finance for climate tech with industrial customers. Venture debt and structured credit remain underdeveloped compared with the US. There is space for specialist lenders to fill this middle lane, especially for business-to-business (B2B) climate solutions with recurring revenues.

Technology pipelines are credible. Industrial heat pumps, electrification, CCUS and sustainable aviation fuels all have active development in the UK. Policies such as the Jet Zero Strategy, the Industrial Energy Transformation Fund and CCUS clusters provide anchor demand and support infrastructure.

Sequencing will matter. The ZEV mandate requires 80% of new car sales to be zero emission by 2030. The UK ETS will gradually expand to more sectors. Carbon border adjustments, aligned with the EU, will help support domestic producers of low-carbon materials. Disclosure rules, through Task Force on Climate-related Financial disclosures and the Strategic Disclosure Requirement, increase transparency and create demand for data and analytics.

For investors, the UK opportunity narrows to three themes:

- Infrastructure: offshore wind, grid expansion and EV charging, offering scale and contracted returns.
- Industrial decarbonisation: steel recycling, cement fuel-switching and industrial heat pumps, backed by policy and proven technical pathways.
- Climate technology: asset-light, B2B solutions with defensible intellectual property and near-term revenues, particularly where regulation on measurement and verification is tightening.

Conclusion: Navigating the transition

Energy transition investment is larger than ever but still falls short of what is required. The gap of more than \$3tn annually defines both the risk and the opportunity.

Capital continues to flow unevenly. Renewables, storage and transport attract institutional money with proven economics and contracted revenues. Infrastructure funds raise at scale, debt markets remain deep and project finance is accessible where cash flows are predictable. By contrast, early-stage climate technologies remain capital-starved, with venture capital investment falling from nearly \$20bn in 2021 to \$13bn in 2024 (PitchBook).

This divergence is rational. Proven projects remain clear even at today's higher cost of capital. Emerging technologies must wait for cheaper finance or stronger policy signals. The investment edge lies in identifying where policy credibility or financing innovation shortens the wait.

The UK still offers advantages: an industrial base, financial depth and a policy framework that, despite adjustments such as the petrol and diesel vehicle ban delay, remains anchored on the target of net-zero by 2050. Near-term opportunities in steel recycling, low-carbon cement and industrial electrification are visible. Finance innovation, CCUS deployment and sustainable aviation fuels add further scope.

Execution will be decisive. Investors should focus on technologies supported by multiple policy levers, clear cost-down pathways and reliable offtakes. Projects that depend on a single policy instrument carry higher risk.

The funding gap is demanding, but that also helps to define the opportunity. Current levels reflect stabilisation from the 2021 peak rather than collapse, with climate allocations proving more resilient than wider VC. The next five years will determine whether flows rise toward \$5tn (IEA, UNEP). That shortfall defines the investment opportunity. Investors in climate technology are likely to be rewarded given the scale of the task required, notably in proven infrastructure, scalable industrial solutions and enabling technologies that do not always appear in headline data. In that sense, today's funding shortfall makes the investment landscape clearer, not smaller.

References

BloombergNEF (2024, 2025) – Global clean energy investment data, annual reports and sectoral breakdowns.

International Energy Agency (2023–2025) – World Energy Investment; Energy Technology Perspectives; sectoral CO₂ emissions trajectories and abatement cost estimates.

United Nations Environment Programme (2024) – Emissions Gap Report; Adaptation Gap Report.

World Steel Association (2023) – Steel sector CO₂ emissions and production data.

Global Cement and Concrete Association (2020–2023) – Cement industry roadmaps and abatement strategies.

International Maritime Organization (2023) – International shipping emissions data.

International Air Transport Association (2023) – Global aviation emissions estimates.

PitchBook (2024–2025) – Climate tech and clean-energy venture capital data.

Climate Bonds Initiative (2024–2025) – Sustainable debt issuance volumes and trends.

London Stock Exchange (2024–2025) – UK renewables trust issuance and IPO activity.

Committee on Climate Change (2023) – UK industrial decarbonisation investment estimates.

Material Economics (2022) – Steel abatement cost studies.

General disclaimer and copyright

This report has been prepared and issued by Edison. Edison Investment Research standard fees are £60,000 pa for the production and broad dissemination of a detailed note (Outlook) following by regular (typically quarterly) update notes. Fees are paid upfront in cash without recourse. Edison may seek additional fees for the provision of roadshows and related IR services for the client but does not get remunerated for any investment banking services. We never take payment in stock, options or warrants for any of our services.

Accuracy of content: All information used in the publication of this report has been compiled from publicly available sources that are believed to be reliable, however we do not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this report and have not sought for this information to be independently verified. Opinions contained in this report represent those of the research department of Edison at the time of publication. Forward-looking information or statements in this report contain information that is based on assumptions, forecasts of future results, estimates of amounts not yet determinable, and therefore involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of their subject matter to be materially different from current expectations.

Exclusion of Liability: To the fullest extent allowed by law, Edison shall not be liable for any direct, indirect or consequential losses, loss of profits, damages, costs or expenses incurred or suffered by you arising out of or in connection with the access to, use of or reliance on any information contained on this note.

No personalised advice: The information that we provide should not be construed in any manner whatsoever as, personalised advice. Also, the information provided by us should not be construed by any subscriber or prospective subscriber as Edison's solicitation to effect, or attempt to effect, any transaction in a security. The securities described in the report may not be eligible for sale in all jurisdictions or to certain categories of investors.

Investment in securities mentioned: Edison has a restrictive policy relating to personal dealing and conflicts of interest. Edison Group does not conduct any investment business and, accordingly, does not itself hold any positions in the securities mentioned in this report. However, the respective directors, officers, employees and contractors of Edison may have a position in any or related securities mentioned in this report, subject to Edison's policies on personal dealing and conflicts of interest.

Copyright: Copyright 2025 Edison Investment Research Limited (Edison).

Australia

Edison Investment Research Pty Ltd (Edison AU) is the Australian subsidiary of Edison. Edison AU is a Corporate Authorised Representative (1252501) of Crown Wealth Group Pty Ltd who holds an Australian Financial Services Licence (Number: 494274). This research is issued in Australia by Edison AU and any access to it, is intended only for "wholesale clients" within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 of Australia. Any advice given by Edison AU is general advice only and does not take into account your personal circumstances, needs or objectives. You should, before acting on this advice, consider the appropriateness of the advice, having regard to your objectives, financial situation and needs. If our advice relates to the acquisition, or possible acquisition, of a particular financial product you should read any relevant Product Disclosure Statement or like instrument.

New Zealand

The research in this document is intended for New Zealand resident professional financial advisers or brokers (for use in their roles as financial advisers or brokers) and habitual investors who are "wholesale clients" for the purpose of the Financial Advisers Act 2008 (FAA) (as described in sections 5(c) (1)(a), (b) and (c) of the FAA). This is not a solicitation or inducement to buy, sell, subscribe, or underwrite any securities mentioned or in the topic of this document. For the purpose of the FAA, the content of this report is of a general nature, is intended as a source of general information only and is not intended to constitute a recommendation or opinion in relation to acquiring or disposing (including refraining from acquiring or disposing) of securities. The distribution of this document is not a "personalised service" and, to the extent that it contains any financial advice, is intended only as a "class service" provided by Edison within the meaning of the FAA (i.e. without taking into account the particular financial situation or goals of any person). As such, it should not be relied upon in making an investment decision.

United Kingdom

This document is prepared and provided by Edison for information purposes only and should not be construed as an offer or solicitation for investment in any securities mentioned or in the topic of this document. A marketing communication under FCA Rules, this document has not been prepared in accordance with the legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research and is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research.

This Communication is being distributed in the United Kingdom and is directed only at (i) persons having professional experience in matters relating to investments, i.e. investment professionals within the meaning of Article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005, as amended (the "FPO") (ii) high net-worth companies, unincorporated associations or other bodies within the meaning of Article 49 of the FPO and (iii) persons to whom it is otherwise lawful to distribute it. The investment or investment activity to which this document relates is available only to such persons. It is not intended that this document be distributed or passed on, directly or indirectly, to any other class of persons and in any event and under no circumstances should persons of any other description rely on or act upon the contents of this document.

This Communication is being supplied to you solely for your information and may not be reproduced by, further distributed to or published in whole or in part by, any other person.

United States

Edison relies upon the "publishers' exclusion" from the definition of investment adviser under Section 202(a)(11) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and corresponding state securities laws. This report is a bona fide publication of general and regular circulation offering impersonal investment-related advice, not tailored to a specific investment portfolio or the needs of current and/or prospective subscribers. As such, Edison does not offer or provide personal advice and the research provided is for informational purposes only. No mention of a particular security in this report constitutes a recommendation to buy, sell or hold that or any security, or that any particular security, portfolio of securities, transaction or investment strategy is suitable for any specific person.